

UNCLASSIFIED

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

18 Feb-1956

INSTRUCTIONS: Officer designations should be used in the "TO" column. Under each comment a line should be drawn across sheet and each comment numbered to correspond with the number in the "TO" column. Each officer should initial (check mark insufficient) before further routing. This Routing and Record Sheet should be returned to Registry.

FROM:

RI/ANALYSIS

TELEPHONE

NO.

EQBA - 30070

DATE

TO	ROOM NO.	DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS	TELEPHONE	COMMENTS
		REC'D	FWD'D			
1. EE/AN	2020		1 MAR 1956	[ ]		0 FGBERTS, Georg
2.						0 BLEY, Curt
3. EE/G	6608		2 MAR 1956	[ ]		
4.						
5.	AN		2 MAR 1956	[ ]		
6.						
7.						
8. RI/AN				[ ]		
9. RGICO			19 APR 1956	[ ]		
10.						
11.						
12. EE/G/C						
13.						
14.						
15. RI/FI	1409 L					

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2007

8-9 Please reclassify as per instructions below.  
5-8: Subject of Abstract Slip should be "Curt BLEY" - please reclassify to [ ]

ABSTRACT	X	INDEX
DATE 27 FEB 1956		

610

REPLACES FORM 51-10  
WHICH MAY BE USED.

SECRET

CONFIDENTIAL

UNCLASSIFIED

VIA: AIR  
(SPECIFY AIR OR SEA POUCH)

DISPATCH NO. EGBA-30070

**SECRET**  
CLASSIFICATION

TO : Chief, EE

DATE: 18 FEB 1956

FROM : Chief of Base, Berlin

INFO: COS

SUBJECT: GENERAL— CADORY/Operational

SPECIFIC— DTLINEN - Subject of EGBA-26643

*Curt Bley*  
*Provisional Operational Approval*

Reference: A. EGBA-25724, dated 11 Jan 1956 *cc DTLINEN (w/att)*  
B. EGBW-3006

As indicated in Paragraph 2 of Reference A, we consider it possible that DTLINEN may want and/or need to utilize the services of Subject at some later date, even though the precise circumstances which might govern this eventuality cannot be foreseen at this time. We therefore feel that a full operational approval for Subject is in order. However, it seems unlikely that we will be able to procure additional PRQ I information over and above that submitted in EGBA-26643 and in various field traces. As we see it, Newham has no valid pretext for asking Subject for personal information about himself, since this is certainly not in keeping with a normal lawyer-client relationship. Worse yet, Subject has indicated that he is extremely sensitive to any sort of association which could be considered as being under the influence of or controlled by an IS (see last paragraph of Attachment A-1 to Reference A). Considering these circumstances, it would be desirable if the information contained in the various field traces and EGBA-26643 would be accepted as providing sufficient data to meet the requirements of a PRQ I, especially since we drew a completely negative reply to our Berlin Documents Center trace. We will of course forward PRQ II data soonest.

APPROVED 125

16 February 1956/aqp

Distribution:

3-EE

3-COS

**SECRET**  
CLASSIFICATION

RI COPY